## Models of computation and finite automata

MPRI, course 2.16, 2022-2023

Automata, Monoids and Logic - Exercise Sheet

**Exercise 1.** Let  $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$  be a regular language. For any word  $\alpha \in \Sigma^*$ , we write  $\alpha^{-1}L := \{w \in \Sigma^* \mid \alpha w \in L\}$ . Define the automaton  $\mathcal{A}_L$  with set of states  $Q := \{\alpha^{-1}L \mid \alpha \in \Sigma^*\}$ , initial state  $q_0 := L$ , set of final states  $F = \{u^{-1}L \mid u \in L\}$ , and, for each  $a \in \Sigma$ , the transition function  $\delta(a) \in \operatorname{End}(Q)$  defined by  $\delta(a)(u^{-1}L) := (ua)^{-1}L$ . We denote by  $\overline{\delta} \colon \Sigma^* \to \operatorname{End}(Q)$  the unique extension to a homomorphism, where the multiplication of  $\operatorname{End}(Q)$  is defined by  $(f \cdot g)(q) := g(f(q))$ . Prove that the image of  $\overline{\delta}$  is isomorphic to the syntactic monoid of L.

**Exercise 2.** Let  $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$ . For each of the following languages L, give a full description of  $\Sigma^* \to M_L$ , describe the four Green relations  $\leq_{\mathcal{L}}, \leq_{\mathcal{R}}, \leq_{\mathcal{H}}$  and  $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}$  on  $S_L$ , and use it to decide if the language is definable in first-order logic, prefix- or suffix-unambiguous, or in  $B\Sigma_1$ .

(a)  $L = \{ w \in \Sigma^* \mid w \text{ has an odd number of } a's \}$ 

- (b)  $L = (ab)^*$
- (c)  $L = (aa)^*b$
- (d)  $L = \Sigma^* a$
- (e)  $L = \Sigma^* a \Sigma^* b \Sigma^*$

**Exercise 3.** A *pseudovariety* of finite semigroups is a class of finite semigroups closed under subsemigroup, homomorphic image, and finite product.

An  $\omega$ -term over a set of variables X is a term built from variables with binary product  $\cdot$  and a unary operation  $()^{\omega}$ ; write T(X) for the set of  $\omega$ -terms. For two  $\omega$ -terms u and v, and a finite semigroup S, we say that the equation u = v holds in S if for any function  $f: X \to S$ , we have  $\bar{f}(u) = \bar{f}(v)$ , where  $\bar{f}: T(X) \to S$  is defined by induction, interpreting  $s^{\omega}$  as the unique idempotent power of s.

Prove that, for any  $\omega$ -terms u and v, the class of finite semigroups in which the equation u = v holds is a pseudovariety.

**Exercise 4.** Show that for any  $\mathcal{L}$ -trivial monoid M there exists a poset  $(X, \leq)$  such that M is isomorphic to a submonoid of  $\mathcal{C}(X, \leq)$ , the monoid of contractions on a poset  $(X, \leq)$ .

**Exercise 5.** (This exercise was already more or less treated in the lectures, modulo some details.)

Let  $h: \Sigma^* \to M$  be a homomorphism to a finite  $\mathcal{L}$ -trivial monoid. Denote by  $F(M) \subseteq M^+$  the set of finite sequences  $(m_0, \ldots, m_n)$  such that  $m_i <_{\mathcal{L}} m_{i+1}$  for all  $0 \leq i < n$ . For  $\bar{m} \in M^+$  and  $m' \in M$ , we write  $m' \cdot \bar{m}$  for the sequence obtained by extending  $\bar{m}$  on the left with m'. We inductively define a function  $c: \Sigma^* \to F(M)$  by

$$c(\epsilon) := (1), \quad c(aw) := \begin{cases} c(w) & \text{if } h(aw) = h(w), \\ h(aw) \cdot c(w) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(a) Show that, for any  $w \in \Sigma^*$ ,  $c(w) \in F(M)$  and the first element of the sequence c(w) is h(w).

- (b) Prove that, for any  $\overline{m} \in F(M)$ ,  $c^{-1}(\overline{m})$  is a suffix unambiguous language.
- (c) Deduce that  $h^{-1}(m)$  is suffix unambiguous for every  $m \in M$ .

**Exercise 6.** Let S be a finite semigroup.

(a) Prove that, for any  $x, y \in S$ , if  $x \leq_{\mathcal{J}} xy$  then  $x \leq_{\mathcal{R}} xy$ . (This property is known as *stability*.)

(b) Prove that  $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{L}$ , i.e., if  $x \mathcal{J} y$ , then there exists  $z \in S$  such that  $x \mathcal{R} z \mathcal{L} y$ .

(c) Deduce that  $\mathcal{J}$  is the least equivalence relation on S that contains  $\mathcal{L} \cup \mathcal{R}$ . (In a general semigroup, the least equivalence relation containing  $\mathcal{L} \cup \mathcal{R}$  is denoted  $\mathcal{D}$ , so this question shows that  $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{D}$  in a finite semigroup.)

**Exercise 7.** Let X be a finite set and End(X) the monoid of functions from X to itself, with composition. Describe the preorders  $\leq_{\mathcal{L}}, \leq_{\mathcal{R}}$  and  $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}$  on End(X).

**Exercise 8.** Let S, T be finite semigroups and  $h: S \to T$  a homomorphism. Prove that, if S is a group, then the image of h is a subgroup of T, and h is a group homomorphism from S onto im(h).

**Exercise 9.** Let G be a finite group and A, B finite sets. We write  $G^0$  for the semigroup  $G \cup \{0\}$  with x0 = 0 = 0x for all x. An  $A \times B$ -matrix is a function  $M: A \times B \to G^0$ . We denote the everywhere zero matrix by 0, and for  $g \in G^0$ , scalar multiplication gM is defined by (gM)(a,b) = gM(a,b). Denote by  $E_{ab}$  the  $(A \times B)$ -matrix which is 0 everywhere except at (a,b), where it is 1. We define

$$\mathcal{M} = \{ gE_{ab} : g \in G, a \in A, b \in B \}$$

and  $\mathcal{M}^0 := \mathcal{M} \cup \{0\}$ . Given a matrix  $C : B \times A \to G^0$ , we define the *Rees semigroup* with group G and structure matrix C as  $R^0(G, C) := (\mathcal{M}^0, \diamond)$ , where for any  $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}^0$ ,

$$X \diamond Y := X \cdot C \cdot Y,$$

where  $\cdot$  is matrix multiplication. We assume that C does not have any zero rows or columns.

- (a) Define a monoid multiplication on the set  $(A \times G \times B) \cup \{0\}$  such that it becomes isomorphic to the monoid  $R^0(G, C)$ .
- (b) Describe  $\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^0(G, \mathbb{C})$ .
- (c) Show that the  $\mathcal{H}$ -class of  $E_{ab}$  is a subgroup iff  $C(b, a) \neq 0$ , and in this case it is isomorphic to G.

Note. One may prove that a  $\mathcal{J}$ -class in a finite semigroup always looks like  $R^0(G, C) \setminus \{0\}$  for some finite group G and some matrix C. See for example Section A.4 of Rhodes & Steinberg, "The q-theory of finite semigroups".

**Exercise 10.** (\*) Give an alternative proof of Schützenberger's Theorem that avoids writing down FO formulas explicitly, and instead uses directly the FO-equivalence relations  $\equiv_k$  and an induction on the  $\mathcal{J}$ -order of the aperiodic semigroup. In particular, show that there is a *linear* function  $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  such that, for any finite aperiodic semigroup S, if any strict  $\mathcal{J}$ -chain in S has size at most n, then any language recognized by S is definable with a first order sentence of quantifier rank at most f(n). (Only try this if all the previous exercises were too easy.)